

International Journal of Heritage, Tourism and Hospitality

journal homepage: https://ijhth.journals.ekb.eg

## Talent Management in Five-Star Hotels in Egypt: An Exploratory Study

Ehab S. Hashem\*, Ashraf Abd El Maboud, Mohamed Ahmed

1Hotel Studies Department, Higher Institute of Tourism and Hotels, Sixth of October, Giza, Egypt

#### ARTICLE INFO

*Keywords*: Talent management Talent employees Improving performance Five-star hotels Egypt.

## ABSTRACT

Talent management is considered one of the main obstacles facing hospitality industry on a global scale. It is especially challenging in the current volatile and dynamic business environment. The aim of this paper is to identify awareness of concept and importance of talent management in five-star hotels in Greater Cairo and to identify the impact of applying of talent management on improving performance in five-star hotels. This research used the quantitative approach to achieve its objectives. Data collection based on the online questionnaire. The study sample consists general managers, human resources managers, and human resources supervisors in fivestar hotels in Greater Cairo. The total number of questionnaires distributed was 168 copies out of which only 129 have been returned with a response rate 76%. SPSS (Version, 26) software was used for analysis data. The results showed there is a positive significant statistical relationship between hotel talent recruitment and performance. It also reported that there is a positive significant statistical relationship between the motivation and performance, and talent retention in the hotel performance, it also showed that there is a positive relationship between hotel talent assessments and performance.

©2022 Faculty of Tourism and Hotels, Fayoum University All rights reserved

## Introduction

Harris et al. (2018) described talent management as a human resource practice used for people's achievement, which is target-focused within an organization and is the "process through which employers anticipate and meet their human capital needs. Mayouf (2018) stated that (TM) is a new method and policy that aims to activate the role of talents, focus on them in organizational processes and change, select talented leaders, managers and employees at all functions level, and develop the quality of human resources to achieve high achievements. According to Hughes and Rog, (2008), talent management has emerged as the most recent word in the human resource management (TM) as a concept has risen in the late 1990s after the report of McKinsey's "War of talent" which took attention to the importance of acquiring talented people to achieve business success. Stahl et al. (2012) stated that the phrase talent management is not just a general buzzword for human resources. It is dedicated to hiring, managing, developing, and retaining the most skilled and distinguished employees in the industry. Talent management is part of a hotel's strategies, since it deals with one of the most

<sup>\*</sup> Contact Ehab at: <u>ehab.hashem77@yahoo.com</u>

valuable assets, its employees (Sadek, 2018). Consequently, this paper aims to firstly identify the awareness of talent management concept and its importance in five-star hotels in greater Cairo, secondly, to identify the impact of the applying of talent management on improving performance

## 1.1 Conceptual framework and study hypotheses

The conceptual framework of this research showed the study variables and relation between each other. Figure (1) showed that independent variables which including talent recruitment, developing and motivating talents, talent retention, talent assessment have effected performance in five-star hotels. For that, the current study tries to test the following hypothesis:

H1: There is a statistically significant relationship between talent recruitment and performance improvement.

H2: There is a statistically significant relationship between developing and motivating talents and improving performance

H3: There is a statistically significant relationship between talent retention and performance improvement.

H4: There is a statistically significant relationship between talent assessment and performance improvement.



Figure (1) study framework.

## 1. Literature Review

2.1 The Historical background of Talent management

According to Lewis and Heckman (2006), it is difficult to determining the precise meaning of "talent management" is challenging due to misunderstandings about definitions and the many terminologies and assumptions used by authors writing on TM. On the other hand, Hafez et al. (2017) mentioned that talent management, "talent strategy," "succession management," and "human resource planning" are all terms that are frequently used interchangeably. Also, Lewis and Heckman (2006) found that talent management was an extension of human resource management with a focus on career progression. Also, Mayouf (2018) described TM as the implementation of integrated strategies. Systems designed to improve the recruitment and development of employees, retain the required skills, prepare to meet current and future organizational needs and achieve success and excellence. Tafti et al. (2017) described global talent management as organizational efforts for recruitment and selection.

## 2.2 Talent Management Strategies

#### 2.2.1Recruiting talent

Blass (2009) mentioned that while recruiting, talent is handled as a customer, and subsequently as a preferred supplier once employed. The most crucial factor is to attract the proper people to work with you. In an ideal world, your company will be

regarded as an "employer of choice," with recruitment practices that address both the tangibles and intangibles of the hiring process, giving potential employees a sense of the culture, environment, and coworkers with whom they will be working, as well as the specifics of the compensation and benefits offered. Furthermore, Seldeneck (2004) indicated that a more pro-active approach suggests identifying the good competition and then luring them to your door. To convince them to join your staff you need individuals who excite them to invite them in, while offering them performance-based compensation packages, quality of life considerations and career development in an environment that promotes diversity.

On the other hand, Cheraisi and Busolo (2020) also stated that there is a helpful and noteworthy affiliation between recruitment and selection and the improvement of the employees' performance of hotels. Finally, Alsakarneh (2015) explained that the talent management strategy has returned to its original base of recruiting the most talented people (those who are competent, capable, and fit the nature and culture of the organization, as well as the ability to execute the strategy.

## 2.2.2 Talent Retention

Harris et al. (2018) indicated that the function of keeping talented people in the organization for a long time is known as talent retention. Loss of talent from an organization is highly devastating, as it leads to reduced production of the organization and increased costs for attracting new talent. Furthermore, Rudsada (2016) stated that the retention of "talented" personnel is regarded as critical to an organization's performance and health. Talent has a relatively high turnover rate in general and the hotel sector is no exception. As a result, it is critical to pay close attention to how to retain talent. Improving employee retention should be done in a way that is unique to the company; companies must understand why individuals leave their positions. This will assist them in increasing employee retention. On the other hand, Anjomshoae et al. (2017) indicated that talent retention is the process of retaining talented employees in the organization for a long time. Brain drains in any organization is very harmful because it will lead to a decrease in the productivity of the organization and an increase in the cost of attracting a new pool of talents. Finally, Deery (2008) argued that retaining a stable workforce is a key element of effective talent management technique and yet over the years, this has been something of a challenge for hospitality and tourism operators.

# 2.2.3Talent Development

Hafez et al. (2017) reported that (TM) strategies must cater to what makes employees feel satisfied with their jobs. For example, professional training and development, and work tasks that demand the use of the employees' skills and fuel their power and feeling connected to a large group of fellow employees. According to Mangusho et al. (2015) learning and development are the backbones of success in this era of competition and dynamic organization and without constant learning, achieving and maintaining performance can be difficult. To improve the performance of the organization, strategists and human resources development personnel are shifting their focus to learning and developing talented employee's development improves the skills and attitudes of employees. Finally, Silzer and Dowell (2010) mentioned that development strategies for current and future roles is one of the most important activities directors can perform to accelerate the growth of their hotel's skills.

# 2.2.4Talent assessment

Ingurgio et al. (2019) stated that one of the keys to the hotel talent management strategy is continuous assessment. Within the workforce management framework outlined in the talent management strategy, assessments are a critical linchpin between the desired end state of employees who are ready to win in a complex world and core talent management functions: Acquire, develop, employ, and retain talent. According to Vinet and Zhedanov (2011) assessment is one of the most important concepts related to retaining talented employees, by studying their strengths and weaknesses, and then improving performance. Vural et al. (2012) indicated that performance evaluation is the analysis and assessment of all employee's results and successes achieved in the hotels in particular duration by directors. In other words, it can be seen as the managing of employee efficiency and production capacity.



Figure (2): Talent Management Strategies :( Source: Abdul'aal, 2018).

# 2. Methodology

This research used the quantitative approach to achieve its objectives. Data collection involved online questionnaire for collecting data. The researcher management the questionnaire by selecting the participants firstly send an email to the majority of participants to asking them to participate in questionnaire. Secondly, sending the link of questionnaire to them "https://forms.gle/gAUPtMXK9kPtvF1L7".

Questionnaire was divided into six section including; hotel talent recruitment (9 items), hotel talents motivation and development (6 items), talent retention in the hotel (7 items), hotel talent assessment (5 items), performance (9 items), obstacles to applying hotel talent management (11 items), with the 5-point of Likert scale strongly disagree (1), disagree (2), neutral (3), agree (4), strongly agree (5). Sample of 28 five-star hotels in greater Cairo selected to be the sampling frame (EHA, 2022). A convenience sample used in this research which including; general managers, human resources managers, and human resources supervisors in five-star hotels in Greater Cairo. The total number of questionnaires distributed was 168 copies out of which only 129 have been returned

Classification Categories		Frequency	Percent
Gender	Male	89	70.8
	Female	40	29.2
	From 26-33	39	30%
Age	From 34 -41	55	43 %
	From 42-50	35	27 %
	Mid-scale education	3	2.3
level of	Bachelors	94	73.0
education	Masters	29	22.4
	Doctorate	3	2.3
	less than 5 years	9	7.0
Years of experience	From 5 to less than 10 years	45	35.0
	From 10 years to less than 15 years	39	30.0
	15 and over	36	28.0
	General manager	20	15.5
Profession	Director of human resources department	25	19.3
	HR. Department supervisor	84	65.11
Τσ	otal	129	100%

with a response rate 76%. SPSS (Version, 26) software was used for analysis data which including; descriptive statistics (mean, standard deviation, t-test) and simple regression.

# 3. Results and Discussion

## 4.1. Validity and reliability

Kamolson (2007) defined validity is an integrated evaluation of how well theoretical explanations and empirical data support conclusions and actions based on test results or other types of measurement. According to this definition, the idea of validity can be defined in a variety of ways and contains significant qualities to consider or theories to test. On the other hand, Thompson (2010) describes reliability as refers to the accuracy or repeatability of the test scores. There is no universally accepted way to define and evaluate the concept; classical test theory provides several indices, and item response theory drops the idea of a single index and conceptualizes it as a conditional standard error of measurement. However, an extremely common way of evaluating reliability is the internal consistency index, called KR-20 or  $\alpha$  (alpha). Table (1) Cronbach's Alpha for study

Reliability Statistics	No . of Ite ms	Cronb ach's Alpha	Vali dity
Hotel Talent Recruitment	9	0.969	0.98 4
Hotel Talents Motivation and Development	6	0.872	0.93 4
Talent Retention in the Hotel	7	0.936	0.96 8
Hotel Talent Assessment	5	0.879	0.93 7
Performance	9	0.981	0.99 0
Obstacles to applying hotel talent management	11	0.966	0.98 3

It is clear from the Table (1) stability of alpha coefficient values Cronbach to the dimensions of the questionnaire, all were greater than (0.70) which is a high ratios are given the confidence of the researcher with the following results; it turns out that there is a high validity, it has all been greater than (0.80).

# 4.2 Participants profile

Table (2) Demographic characteristics

The personal data and properties of the respondents were summarized using basic descriptive statistics such as percentages and frequencies to present a description of the respondents, including their gender, age, education, and job experience.

#### 4.3 Hotel Talent Recruitment

Table (3) Analysis	of descriptive	statistics for	hotel
talent Recruitment			

Descriptive	Mea	Std.	CV	T	P-
statistics	п	n		Test	valu e
					c
The hotel has	3.992	1.017	25.4	-	0.92
a department			7	0.09	9
specialized				0	
in human					
talents and					
works on					
recruitment					
development					
of them					
The hotel	3 942	1.007	25.5	_	0.52
management	5.742	1.007	4	0.63	0.52 7
seeks to				5	
attract					
talented					
people					
Talent	3.942	0.929	23.5	-	0.49
management			6	0.68	3
is one of the				8	
activities of					
human					
resource					
The hotel	3 767	0.004	26.3		0.01
management	5.707	0.994	20.3	2 57	1
is interested			0	3	1
in				U	
determining					
its needs for					
talented					
human					
resources					
The hotel	3.675	1.006	27.3	-	0.00
recruitment			6	3.54	1
process is				1	
d by a bias					
towards					
talents over					
others					
Substantive	3.933	0.877	22.2	-	0.40
methods are			9	0.83	7
used to select				3	
the					
appropriate					
individuals					
the nature of					
the position					
The hotel	3,858	0.981	25.4	-	0.11
management	2.000	0.201	3	1.58	6
uses all				1	
available					
methods to					
announce the					
need for					

talented people.					
The hotel promotes placing the proper talent	3.883	0.954	24.5 7	- 1.33 9	0.18 3
in the right place.					
The talent management system includes all organization al levels of the hotel.	3.792	1.060	27.9 6	2.15 2	0.03 3
Hotel talent Recruitmen t	3.865	0.879	22.7 4	- 1.68 5	0.09 5

According to the total dimension "Hotel talent Recruitment", it was found that the value of the arithmetic mean of responses was found to be (3.865) and standard (0.879). which does not differ from expected mean 4 at significant level 5%, where the calculated T-test value reached -1.685 (p-value 0.095 more than 0.05), also it shows that coefficient of variation was 22.74% which has a very small value. This indicates that there are consensuses among the responses for agree with 77.26%.

The results agree with the previous studies (Blass, 2009; Alsakarneh 2015; Cheraisi & Busolo, 2020) which indicated that there is a beneficial and noteworthy association between recruitment and talent acquisition and between improving performance effectiveness in hotels and improving work outcomes.

#### 4.4 Motivation and development

Table (4) Descriptive statistics analysis of motivation and development

Descriptive	Mea	Std.	CV	Т	р-
statistics	n	Deviatio		Test	valu
		n			e
The hotel	3.86	0.970	25.0	-	0.13
has plans for training and development to raise the capabilities of talents in line with the hotel's needs	7		7	1.506	5

Е.	Hashem1	et al. /	'IJHTH	vol 16	issue 1	1 (2022)	) -
----	---------	----------	--------	--------	---------	----------	-----

The hotel	3.26	1.121	34.3	-	0.00
management	7		1	7 168	0
provides in-	,		1	7.100	0
house					
training and					
makes a real-					
simulation in					
the work					
environment					
for all					
talented					
people					
The hotel	2.65	1.065	40.0	-	0.00
management	8		7	13.79	0
promotes				9	
talented					
people to					
external					
training in					
leading hotel					
establishmen					
is. Talantad	2.07	1.073	36.0		0.00
neonle are	2.97	1.075	50.0	10.46	0.00
involved in	5		0	10.40	0
educational				0	
and cultural					
conferences					
and					
workshops in					
the field of					
hotels					
The hotel	3.85	0.885	23.0	-	0.06
management	0		0	1.856	6
seeks to					
spirit of					
competition					
among					
talented					
people to					
increase their					
abilities.					
The hotel	3.85	0.941	24.4	-	0.08
management	0		3	1.747	3
provides a					
high-quality					
training					
that meets all					
training					
needs and					
means					
Hotel	3.41	0.790	23.1	-	0.00
Talents	1		7	8.162	0
Motivation					
and					
Developmen					
l t			1		

According to the variables "Hotel talent motivation and development", the results found that the value of the arithmetic mean of responses was (3.411) and standard (0.790). Which does not differ from expected mean. 4 at significant level 0.000where the calculated T-test value reached -8.162 (less than p-value 0.05), also it shows that coefficient of Variation was 23.17% which has a very small value. This indicates that there are consensuses among the responses for agree with 76.83%.

These results coincided with previous study, of Mangusho et al. (2015) and (Us, 2014) which indicated that motivating and developing talented employees is the backbone for improving work performance in hotels. They noted that without continuous learning, development, and motivation, obtaining good performance may become a critical matter, and they emphasized that human resource practitioners should focus on education and development talented staff.

## 4.5 Retention of talent in the hotel

Table (5) Descriptive statistics analysis of talent retention in the hotel

Descriptive statistics	Mea n	Std. Deviatio n	CV	T Test	p- valu e
The hotel has a talent promotion system.	3.292	1.226	37.2 4	- 6.33 0	0.00 0
The hotel management relies on various incentive policies aimed at preserving talents.	3.875	0.984	25.3 8	- 1.39 2	0.16 6
The hotel management relies on internal promotions to compensate for vacant positions in the hotel.	4.183	0.869	20.7 8	2.31 0	0.02 3
The hotel management determines the value of the rewards through the information	3.850	1.050	27.2 8	- 1.56 4	0.12 0

Е.	Hashem1	et	al. /	IJHTH	vol 16	issue	1	(2022)	-
----	---------	----	-------	-------	--------	-------	---	--------	---

Retention in the Hotel	3.857	0.867	9	1.80 4	4
Talent			22.4	-	0.07
management relies on various incentive policies aimed at preserving talented competencie s.	4.025	0.930	23.1 1	0.29 4	0.76 9
The hotel management encourages talented employees to express their positive opinions and suggestions, and then activate them and work accordingly.	4.017	0.944	23.4 9	0.19	0.84 7
Incentives, rewards, and compensatio n systems are planned and developed by studying competitors.	3.758	1.092	29.0 6	- 2.42 4	0.01 7
provided by the performance appraisal system.					

On the other hand, the variables of 'Talent retention' the results of the current study showed that the value of the arithmetic mean of the responses was (3.857) and standard (0.867). which does not differ from expected mean 4 at significant level 0.05, where the calculated T-test value reached -1.804 (p-value 0.074 more than 0.05), also it shows that coefficient of variation was 22.49% which has a very small value. This indicates that there are consensuses among the responses for agree with 77.51%. These results were matched with the literature review, which mentioned that talent retention variables were effect on performance improvement.

#### 4.6 Hotel Talent Assessment

Table (6) Descriptive statistics analysis of hotel talent assessment

statisticsnDeviatio nTesteThe presence of criteria used in the assessment of talents.3.45 01.08331.4 15.56 10.000The incentive system is linked to the results of assessments4.00 00.85021.2 50.00 01.000The incentive system is linked to the results of assessments3.48 31.11532.0 1- 5.07 60The presence of an information system in which data is provided on the performanc e of talented workers.1.11532.0 1- 5.07 60.000The hotel the informs the employees about the results of their assessments0.80319.8 80.56 30.571The notel substantivel y, focusing on the weaknesses to avoid them and promote the strengths0.776 320.3 3- 2.58 80.011	Descriptive	Mea	Std.	CV	Т	P valu
NameJohnJohnJohnThe presence of criteria used in the assessment of talents.3.45 01.083 1.08331.4 1- 5.56 10.000The incentive system is linked to the results of assessments4.00 00.850 521.2 00.00 01.000The results of assessments3.48 31.115 1.1532.0 1- 5.07 60.000The presence of an information system in which data is provided on the performance e of talented workers.1.115 32.0 132.0 5.07 6- 0.000The hotel thich data is provided on the results of their assessments1.015 3.48 assessment3.48 assessment1.115 assessment3.0.56 a assessment0.571 a assessmentThe hotel substantivel y, focusing on the weaknesses to avoid them and promote the strengths0.828 a a assessment20.1 a assessment1.43 a1.13 a a a a a a a a1.13 a a a a a a a a a a a1.115 a a a a a a a a a a1.115 a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a1	statistics	n	Deviatio	÷.	Test	e
The presence of criteria used in the assessment of talents.3.4.5 01.083 1.08331.4 1 15.6 5.56 10.000 1.000The incentive system is linked to the results of assessments4.00 00.850 021.2 00.00 01.000The incentive system is linked to the results of assessments3.48 1.1151.115 32.0 132.0 5.07 6- 0.000The presence of an information system in which data is provided on the performanc t informstine1.115 32.0 132.0 5.07 6- 0.000The hotel workers.4.04 0.8030.803 19.8 819.8 8 80.56 0.571The hotel the employees about the results of their assessments0.828 820.1 11.43 30.154 1The weaknesses to a avoid them and promote the strengths3.81 80.776 3 320.3 3 2.58- 0.011	statistics		n		1050	c
The presence of criteria used in the assessment $3.45$ 0 $1.083$ 1 $31.4$ 1 $-$ $5.56$ 1 $0.000$ 1The incentive of talents. $4.00$ 0 $0.850$ 5 $21.2$ 0 $0.00$ $1.000$ The incentive of talents. $4.00$ 0 $0.850$ 5 $21.2$ 0 $0.00$ $1.000$ The incentive of an information system in which data is provided on the performanc e of talented workers. $3.48$ 1.115 $1.115$ 32.0 1 $5.07$ 6 $0.000$ The hotel managemen t informs the employees about the results of their assessments $0.803$ 2 $19.8$ 8 $0.56$ 3 $0.571$ 2The hotel their assessments $4.10$ 8 $0.828$ 2 $20.1$ 3 $1.43$ 3 $0.154$ The managemen t informs the employees about the results of their assessments $0.828$ 8 $20.1$ 3 $1.43$ 3 $0.154$ The manigement t their assessments $0.776$ 7 $20.3$ 3 $-$ 2.58 $0.011$						
presence of criteria used in the assessment of talents.015.56 1The incentive of talents.4.00 00.850 521.2 00.00 01.000The incentive of system is linked to the results of assessments3.48 31.115 132.0 5.07 6-0.000 0.000The massessments3.48 31.115 332.0 5.07 6-0.000 0.000The minformation system in which data is provided on the performanc e of talented workers.1.042 3.48 3.480.803 3.48 3.4819.8 3.48 3.480.56 3.48 3.480.571 3.48 3.48The hotel third managemen t unforms the employees about the results of their assessments0.828 3.81 4.10 3.81 4.1020.13 4.13 4.143 4.1431.43 4.143 4.143 4.143 4.143 4.144The managemen t informs the employees about the results of their assessments3.81 4.776 4.20.3 4.2.58 520.1 4.3 4.2.58 4.33	The	3.45	1.083	31.4	-	0.000
criteria used in the assessment of talents.11The incentive system is linked to the results of assessments4.00 00.850 521.2 00.000 01.000The results of assessments3.48 31.115 132.0 5.07 6- 0.0000.000The presence of an information system in which data is provided on the erformanc e of talented workers.1.115 3.48 1.11532.0 1- 5.07 60.000The hotel users time time system in which data is provided on the growided on the their assessments1.040 5.07 60.0571 80.566 80.571 6The hotel tuinforms the employees about the results of their assessments0.803 219.8 80.56 60.571 3The waknesses to a void them and promote the strengths4.10 80.828 820.1 81.43 80.154 6Hotel talent3.81 70.776 620.3 2.58- 2.580.011 2.5.8	presence of	0		1	5.56	
used in the assessment of talents.4.000.85021.20.001.000The incentive system is linked to the results of assessments00.85021.20.001.000The results of assessments3.481.11532.0-0.000The presence of an information system in which data is provided on the performanc e of talented workers.1.11532.0-0.000The hotel workers.3.481.11532.0-0.000The hotel system in which data is provided on the performanc e of talented workers.0.80319.80.560.571The hotel t informs the employees about the results of their assessments1.000.82820.11.430.154The weaknesses to avoid them and promote the strengths4.100.82820.11.430.154Hotel talent70.77620.3-0.011	criteria				1	
assessment of talents.4.00 00.850 021.2 5 00.00 1.000The incentive system is linked to the results of assessments3.48 3.481.115 1.11532.0 1- 5.07 60.0000The presence of an information system in which data is provided on the performanc e of talented3.48 4.04 21.115 4.0432.0 5.07 6- 0.0000The hotel managemen t informs the employees about the results of their assessments4.04 20.803 819.8 80.56 80.571 8The hotel usboard4.04 20.803 4.0419.8 80.56 80.571 9The hotel usboard4.10 4.10 4.100.828 4.1020.1 4.13 4.1431.43 4.143 4.1430.154 4.143 4.143The he employees about the results of their assessments0.828 4.1020.1 4.143 4.1431.43 4.143 4.1430.154 4.143 4.143The he employees about the results of their assessments3.81 4.776 4.20.3 4.2.58 4.2.58- 4.2.580.011 4.2.58	used in the					
of talents. $\sim$ $\sim$ $\sim$ $\sim$ The incentive system is linked to the results of assessments4.00 00.850 solution21.2 50.00 01.000 incom solutionThe presence of an information system in which data is provided on the performanc e of talented3.48 solution1.115 solution32.0 solution- solution0.0000 solutionThe presence of an information system in which data is provided on the performanc e of talented1.115 solution32.0 solution- solution0.0000 solutionThe hotel their employees about the results of their assessments4.04 solution0.803 solution19.8 solution0.56 solution0.571 solutionThe weaknesses to avoid them and promote the strengths4.10 solution0.828 solution20.1 solution1.43 solution0.154 solutionHotel talent3.81 r0.776 solution20.3 solution- solution0.011 solution	assessment					
The incentive system is linked to the results of assessments $4.00$ 0 $0.850$ simple simple $1.000$ $21.2$ $5$ $0.00$ $0.000$ $1.000$ $1.000$ The presence of an information system in which data is provided on the performanc e of talented workers. $3.48$ $1.115$ $1.115$ $1.115$ $32.0$ $1.100$ $-$ $6.11$ $0.000$ The performanc e of talented workers. $3.48$ $2.1$ $1.115$ $1.115$ $32.0$ $1.100$ $-$ $6.11$ $0.000$ The hotel the employees about the results of their assessments $4.04$ $2.10$ $0.803$ $19.8$ $19.8$ $8.8$ $0.56$ $8.8$ $0.571$ $8.8$ The managemen t unforms the employees about the results of their assessments $4.10$ $0.828$ $0.11.43$ $2.11$ $0.154$ $6$ The weaknesses to avoid them and promote the strengths $0.776$ $3.81$ $20.3$ $3.2.58$ $-$ $2.03$ $0.011$	of talents.					
incentive 0 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	The	4.00	0.850	21.2	0.00	1.000
system is linked to the results of assessments3.48 31.115 1.11532.0 5.07 6- 0.000The presence of an information system in which data is provided on the performanc e of talented workers.1.115 3.4832.0 1 5.07 6- 0.000The hotel managemen t informs the employees about the results of their assessments4.04 20.803 819.8 80.56 80.571The hotel their assessments4.10 80.828 620.1 31.43 60.154The weaknesses to avoid them and promote the strengths4.10 70.828 820.1 61.43 30.154	incentive	0		5	0	
linked to the results of assessments3.48 3.481.115 32.032.0 5.07 60.000The presence of an information system in which data is provided on the performanc e of talented workers.3.48 a1.115 a32.0 5.07 6- 0.000The hotel employees about the results of their assessments4.04 a0.803 a19.8 a0.56 a0.571 aThe hotel employees about the results of their assessments4.10 a0.828 a20.1 a1.43 a0.154 aThe weaknesses to avoid them and promote the strengths4.10 a0.828 a20.1 a1.43 a0.154 aHotel talent3.81 70.776 a a20.3 a a c- a0.011 a a c	system is					
the results of assessments The 3.48 1.115 32.0 - presence of 3 an 3 1 115 32.0 - 0.000 1 5.07 6 1 5.07 7 1 5.07 8 8 8 1 5.5 1 5.17 1	linked to					
of assessments3.481.11532.0- 5.070.000The presence of an information system in which data is provided on the performanc e of talented workers.1.11532.0- 5.070.000The hotel t informs the employees about the results of their assessments4.040.80319.80.560.571The hotel t informs the employees about the results of their assessments4.100.82820.11.430.154The hegligent is questioned substantivel y, focusing on the strengths4.100.82820.11.430.154Hotel tter strengths3.810.77620.3- 30.0110.011	the results					
assessmentsThe presence of an information system in which data is provided on the performanc e of talented workers.3.48 31.115 <td>of</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	of					
The presence of an information system in which data is provided on the performanc e of talented workers.1.115 $1$ <b< td=""><td>assessments</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></b<>	assessments					
The presence of an information system in which data is provided on the performanc e of talented $3.48$ 3 $1.115$ an an information system in which data is provided on the performanc e of talented $1.115$ an an an information b $3.48$ an an an informanc e of talented $1.115$ an and and and is provided on the workers. $0.000$ an and is provided on the performanc e of talented $0.000$ and and and and workers. $0.000$ an and<	•					
presence of an information system in which data is provided on the performanc e of talented workers.15.07 6The hotel t informs the employees about the results of their assessment4.04 20.80319.8 80.56 80.571 8The hotel t informs the employees about the results of their assessments4.10 80.828 820.1 61.43 30.154 6The managemen t informs the employees about the results of their assessments0.828 820.1 61.43 30.154 6The megligent is questioned substantivel y, focusing on the weaknesses to avoid them and promote the strengths0.776 320.3 3- 2.580.011 3	The	3.48	1.115	32.0	-	0.000
an information system in which data is provided on the performanc e of talented workers.6The hotel t informs the employees about the results of their assessment4.04 t informs0.80319.8 t informs the the the the informs the the informs0.80319.8 t informs the the informs0.56 t informs0.571 t informsThe hotel t informs the employees about the results of their assessments4.10 t informs0.828 t informs20.1 t informs1.43 t informs0.154 t informsThe weaknesses to avoid them and promote the strengths4.10 t informs0.828 20.1 t informs1.43 t informs0.154 t informsThe 	presence of	3		1	5.07	
information system in which data is provided on the performanc e of talentedImage: second sec	an				6	
system in which data is provided on the performanc e of talentedImage and performanc e of talentedImage and performanc e of talentedImage and performancImage and performancThe hotel4.040.80319.80.560.571managemen288819.8tinforms the employees about the results of their assessmentsImage and performancImage and performancImage and performancThe4.100.82820.11.430.154negligent is guestioned substantivel y, focusing on the weaknessesImage and performanc promote the strengthsImage and performanc performanc performanc promote the strengthsImage and performanc pe	information					
which data is provided on the performanc e of talented workers.Image of talented workers.Image of talented the the the anagemen 219.8 the the the the the about the results of their assessments0.803 the<	system in					
is provided on the performanc e of talented workers. The hotel 4.04 0.803 19.8 0.56 0.571 managemen 2 t informs the employees about the results of their assessments The 4.10 0.828 20.1 1.43 0.154 negligent is 8 questioned substantivel y, focusing on the weaknesses to avoid them and promote the strengths Hotel 3.81 0.776 20.3 - assessment () () () () () () () () () () () () ()	which data					
ontheImage: the strengthsImage: the strengthImage: the	is provided					
performanc e of talented workers.and the strengthsand the strengthsand the strengthsand the strengthsand the strengthsThe hotel4.040.80319.80.560.571The hotel4.040.80319.888tinforms8881tinformstinformstheemployeesabouttheresultsofThe4.100.82820.11.430.154negligent is guestioned863-ubstantivelyfocusingubstantivelyfocusingubstantivelyfocusingubstantivelyfocusingubstantivelyfocusingubstantivelyfocusingubstantivelyfocusingubstantivel <t< td=""><td>on the</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	on the					
e of talented       workers.       -       -       -       -         The hotel       4.04       0.803       19.8       0.56       0.571         managemen       2       8       8       8       8         t informs       -       8       8       8       10.571         managemen       2       8       8       8       10.571         t informs       -       -       -       10.571         the       -       -       -       -       -         employees       -       -       -       -       -       -         about       the       -       -       -       -       -       -         results       of       -	performanc					
workers.         Image of the strengths         Image of the strengths         Image of the strengths         Image of the strengths         Image of the strength of the strengths         Image of the strength of the streng the strength of the strength of the strength of t	e of talented					
The hotel       4.04       0.803       19.8       0.56       0.571         managemen       2       8       8       8       8         t       informs       8       8       8       8         the       employees       8       8       8       8         about       the       8       8       8       8         results       of       1 <td>workers.</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	workers.					
managemen2888tinforms888tinforms4444results00.82820.11.430.154regligent is8633questioned8631substantivel911.430.154y, focusing6311onthe4111them and11111promote the11111strengths32.5850.011assessment5532.585	The hotel	4.04	0.803	19.8	0.56	0.571
t informs the employees about the results of their assessments The 4.10 0.828 20.1 1.43 0.154 negligent is 8 questioned substantivel y, focusing on the weaknesses to avoid them and promote the strengths Hotel 3.81 0.776 20.3 - Results 0.776 20.3 - 0.011 talent 7 3 2.58 8	managemen	2		8	8	
the employees about the results of their assessments4.100.82820.11.430.154The assessments4.100.82820.11.430.154The assessments4.100.82820.11.430.154negligent is substantivel y, focusing on the weaknesses to avoid them and promote the strengths0.82820.11.430.154Hotel talent3.810.77620.3-0.011assessment32.588-0.011	t informs					
employees about the results of their assessmentsThe assessments4.100.82820.11.430.154The assessments4.100.82820.11.430.154negligent is questioned substantivel y, focusing on the weaknesses to avoid them and promote the strengths11.430.154Hotel talent3.810.77620.3-0.011assessment52.588-0.011	the					
about the results of their assessments4.100.82820.11.430.154The assessments4.100.82820.11.430.154The asgligent is substantivel y, focusing on the weaknesses8631y, focusing on the weaknesses11them and promote the strengths0.011Hotel3.810.77620.3-0.011talent732.588-	employees					
results of their assessments4.100.82820.11.430.154The asgligent is questioned substantivel y, focusing on the weaknesses to avoid them and promote the strengths4.100.82820.11.430.154Hotel talent3.810.77620.3-0.011assessment3.810.77620.3-0.011	about the					
their assessments4.100.82820.11.430.154The negligent is questioned substantivel y, focusing on the weaknesses to avoid them and promote the 	results of					
assessments4.100.82820.11.430.154negligent is questioned substantivel y, focusing on the weaknesses to avoid them and promote the strengths0.82820.11.430.154Hotel talent3.810.77620.3-0.011assessment32.588-0.011	their					
.         .	assessments					
Ine       4.10       0.828       20.1       1.43       0.154         negligent is       8       6       3       1	· The	4.10	0.020	20.1	1.42	0.154
negrigent is questioned substantivel y, focusing 	ne nogligent i-	4.10 o	0.828	20.1	1.45	0.154
questionedsubstantively, focusingonweaknessestoavoidthemandpromote thestrengthsHotel3.810.77620.3-0.011talent738	auestioned	0		0	3	
y, focusing on the weaknesses to avoid them and promote the strengths Hotel 3.81 0.776 20.3 - 0.011 talent 7 3 2.58 assessment 8	substantival					
y, rocusing on the weaknesses to avoid them and promote the strengthsImage: constraint of the strengthImage: constraint of the strengthHotel3.810.77620.3-0.011talent732.588	v focusing					
weaknesses to avoid them and promote the strengthsand strengthand strengthHotel3.810.77620.3-talent732.58assessment8	on the					
to avoid them and promote the strengths 3.81 0.776 20.3 - 0.011 talent 7 3 2.58 assessment 8	weaknesses					
them and promote the strengths <b>10.776 20.3</b> - <b>0.011 talent 7 3 2.58 8</b>	to avoid					
and promote the strengthsand strengthand strengthHotel3.810.77620.3-talent732.58assessment8	them and					
strengths         20.3         -         0.011           talent         7         3         2.58         8	promote the					
Hotel         3.81         0.776         20.3         -         0.011           talent         7         3         2.58         8         8	strengths					
talent 7 3 2.58 8	Hotel	3 81	0 776	20.3	-	0.011
assessment 8	talent	7	J.//U	3	2.58	0.011
	assessment			-	8	

The previous table (6) showed that the grand mean "Hotel talent assessment" was (3.817) and standard (0.776). which does not differ from expected mean

4 at significant level 0.05, where the calculated Ttest value reached -2.588 (p\_value 0.011 less than 0.05), also it shows that coefficient of variation was 20.33% which has a very small value. This indicates that there are consensuses among the responses for agree with 79.67%. These results matched the existing literature on the topic. The previous result is consistent with the studies of (Ingurgio et al., 2019; Anand, 2011) that one of the keys to applying talent management in hotels and achieving a high level of performance is the continuous evaluation of talented employees.

# 4.6 Performance

T - 1 - 1 - (7)	D		1	C :		<b>r</b>	
1 2010 ( / )	I JACCTINTIVA	CTATICTICC.	analveie	OT 1mr	nrowing	nertormance	
		orariorico	anaryono	VI IIII	$n () v m \epsilon$		
				p			

Descriptive statistics	Mean	Std. Deviation	CV	T Test	P_value
Choosing talented employees in the appropriate					
job positions for their talent level leads to the	4.592	0.783	17.06	8.276	0.000
transfer of knowledge among the employees,					
which is positively reflected in the performance.					
The application of the talent management system	4.583	0.751	16.39	8.506	0.000
contributes to developing competencies and skills,					
levels.					
Creating an effective communication system	4.500	0.850	18.89	6.443	0.000
between management, supervisors, and workers.					
Increasing participation and teamwork between	4.525	0.830	18.34	6.931	0.000
management and workers.					
Developing the skills of employees, supervisors,	4.583	0.729	15.90	8.771	0.000
and managers.					
Increase customer satisfaction with the services	4.608	0.725	15.74	9.189	0.000
provided due to improved performance.					
The application of talent management leads to	4.550	0.787	17.30	7.656	0.000
improving the quality of the hotel product, which					
leads to increased revenues.	1 5 5 0	0.545	1650	<b>7</b> 000	0.000
Reducing expenses and labor costs.	4.558	0.765	16.78	7.998	0.000
Increasing job satisfaction and decreasing labor	4.525	0.830	18.34	6.931	0.000
turnover					
performance	4.558	0.730	16.01	8.381	0.000

The pervious table (7) suggested that the mean scores for improving performance in hotels was (4.558) and standard (0.730). Which means the most common answer was being closed to value (4) or agree. which does not differ from expected mean 4 at significant level 0.05 where the calculated T-test value reached -8.381 (p value 0.000 less than 0.05), also it shows that coefficient of variation was 16.01% which has a very small value. This indicates that there is consensuses among the responses for strongly agree with 83.99%.

This result agreed with the studies of Dhanabhakyam and Kokilambal (2014), Bibi (2019) Almohtaseb et al. (2020), and Sopiah et al. (2020), they reported that the application of talent management in hotels helps improve performance, build competencies and skills, and maximize contributions. They also agreed that the application of talent management means the ability to innovate, create work, and achieve a high level of performance and productivity.

# 4.7 Testing Research Hypotheses

**H**.1: *There is a significant relationship between hotel talent recruitment and performance.* 

The correlation is significant at the 1% levelThe table (8) shows that there is a significant correlation between hotel talent recruitment and improving performance at level of confidence 99%, This is correlation value 0.595 is strong and positive, so we can study effect Hotel talent Recruitment on improving performance. From the above table the coefficient of determination (R square) equal to .354, and this indicates that the independent variable in the model (hotel talent recruitment) explain 35.4% of any change in the improving performance. In addition, the regression model

statistically significant when the F test calculated 64.62 is significant

Table	(8):	Relationship	of	regression	coefficients
betwee	en the	Hotel talent re	crui	tment and th	e improving
perform	nance				

Coefficients									
	Unst	andar	Standar	t	Sig				
	di	zed	dized						
	Coef	ficien	Coeffici						
	1	ts	ents						
	В	Std.	Beta						
		Erro							
		r							
(Constant)	2.6	0.24		10.8	0.0				
	50	3		83	00				
Hotel talent	0.4	0.06	0.595	8.03	0.0				
Recruitment	94	1		9	00				
R			.595ª						
R Squa	ıre		0.354						
Adjusted R Square			0.348						
DF			1,	/ 118					
F			64	.623					
Sig.				000					

- The table (8) also shows the values of the coefficient of independent variables and we find that the model variables are statistically significant at a confidence level of 99%, then the study reject the null hypothesis and accepts the alternative hypothesis that the independent variables (hotel talent recruitment) have a real value differ from zero and have a real impact on the improving performance.

H0: - beta coefficients equal to zero ( $\beta = 0$ ) H1: - beta coefficients are not equal to zero ( $\beta \neq 0$ )

Simple Regression equation:

 $y = b_0 + b_1 X_1 + b_2 X_2 + \dots$ 

When:-

*y* : Dependent variable

 $X_1$ : First independent variable

 $X_2$ : Second independent variable

Improving performance = 0.494 + 2.650 (Hotel talent Recruitment)

-There is a significant relationship between hotel talent recruitment and improving performance. -There is a significant positive impact from hotel talent recruitment on improving performance - This study accepted this hypothesis that there is a significant relationship between hotel talent recruitment and improving performance.

**H.2**: There is a significant relationship between the hotel talent motivation and development and improving performance.

Table	(9):	Regress	ion	coefficients	s motivation	and
develo	pmen	t on impr	ovin	g performa	nce	

Coefficients							
	Uns	tanda	Standa	t	Si		
	rdi	zed	rdized		g.		
	Coe	fficie	Coeffi				
	n	its	cients				
	В	Std	Beta				
		•					
		Err					
		or					
(Constant)	3.	0.2		11.	0.		
	09	64		74	00		
	6			8	0		
Hotel Talents	0.	0.0	0.464	5.6	0.		
Motivation and	42	75		94	00		
Development	9				0		
R			.464ª				
R Square			0.216				
Adjusted R Squa	Adjusted R Square				0.209		
DF			1 /	/ 118			
				100			
F			32	2.422			
Sig.			).	)00 <sup>b</sup>			

The table (9) shows that there is a significant correlation between hotel talent motivation and development and improving performance at 99% confidence 99%, This is correlation value.464 is moderate and positive, so we can study effect hotel talent motivation and development improving performance.

From the above table the coefficient of determination (R square) equal to 21.6 and this indicates that the independent variable in the model (Hotel Talents Motivation and Development) explain 21.6% of any change in the improving performance. In addition, the regression model statistically significant when the F test calculated 32.422is significant at level of confidence 95% which the significant value 0.000 less than 0.05 at degree of freedom 1/118.

The table (9) shows the coefficient values of the independent variables and we find that the model variables are statistically significant at a confidence

level of 99%, then the study reject the null hypothesis and accepts the alternative hypothesis that the independent variables (hotel talent motivation and development) have a real value differ from (.000) and they have a real impact on improving performance.

## Simple Regression equation:

Improving performance = 3.096 + 0.429 (Hotel talent motivation and development)

# Results

- There is a significant relationship between the motivation and development and improving performance.
- There is a positive significant Impact from hotel talent motivation and development improving performance

This study accepted this hypothesis that there is a significant relationship between the motivation and development and improving performance.

**H.3:** There is a significant relationship between talent retention in the hotel and improving performance.

Table (10): Regression coefficients Talent Retention								
Coefficients								
	Unst	andar	Standar	t	Sig			
	di	zed	dized		•			
	Coef	ficient	Coeffici					
	D	S C(1	ents					
	В	Std.	Beta					
		EIIO r						
(Constant)	27	0.25		10.8	0.0			
(Constant)	39	4		03	00			
Talent Retention	0.4	0.06	0.561	7.35	0.0			
in the Hotel	72	4		4	00			
R			.561ª					
R Square	0.314							
Adjusted R S	0.308							
DF	1,	/ 118						
F			54.084					
Sig.			.000 <sup>b</sup>					

Table (10): Regression coefficients Talent Retention

- The table (10) shows that there is a significant correlation between Talent Retention in the Hotel \_ and improving performance at level of confidence 99%, This correlation value .561 is strong and positive, so we can study effect talent retention in the Hotel improving performance.

From the above table the coefficient of determination (R square) equal to 31.4 and this indicates that the independent variable in the model (talent retention in the hotel) explain 31.4percentage of any change in the improving performance. In addition, the regression model statistically significant when the F test calculated 54.084 is significant at level of confidence 95% which the significant value 0.000 less than 0.05 at degree of freedom 1/118

The table (10) shows the coefficient values of the independent variables and we find that the model variables are statistically significant at a confidence level of 99%, then the study reject the null hypothesis and accepts the alternative hypothesis that the independent variables (talent retention in the hotel) have real value different from (0.000) and they have a real impact on improving performance.

# Simple Regression equation:

Improving performance = 2.739 + 0.472 (Talent Retention in the Hotel)

• There is a significant relationship between talent retention in the hotel and improving performance.

• There is a positive significant impact from hotel talent retention in improving hotel performance.

• This study accepted this hypothesis that there is a significant relationship between Talent Retention in the Hotel and improving performance.

# **H.4** There is a significant relationship between talent assessments in the hotel and improving performance.

-The table (11) shows that there is a significant correlation between hotel talent assessments and improving performance at level of confidence 99%, this is correlation value. .501<sup>a</sup> is strong and positive, so we can study effect hotel talent assessment improving performance.

From the above table the coefficient of determination (R square) equal to 25.1 and this indicates that the independent variable in the model (hotel talent assessment) explain 25.1 percentage of any change in the improving performance. In

- addition, the regression model statistically significant when the F test calculated 39.588 is

Table (	(11)	Relationship	of	regression	•
coefficier	nts betw	ween Talent	assessme	ents in the	
Hotel and	l impro	ving perform	ance.		•

Coefficients								
	Unst	anda	Standar	t	Si			
	rdi	zed	dized		g.			
	Coef	ficie	Coeffic					
	n	ts	ients					
	В	Std	Beta					
		Err						
		or						
(Constant)	2.7	0.2		9.4	0.0			
	59	92		58	00			
Hotel talent	0.4	0.0	0.501	6.2	0.0			
assessment	71	75		92	00			
R			.501ª					
R Squ	are		0.251					
Adjusted R	Squar	e	0.	245				
DF	1 / 118							
F	39	.588						
Sig.			.0	$0\overline{0^{b}}$				

-

- significant at level of confidence 95% which the significant value 0.000 less than 0.05 at degree of freedom 1/118
- Table (11) shows the values of independent variables and we find that the model variables are statistically significant at a confidence level 99%, then the study rejects the null hypothesis and accept the alternative hypothesis that the independent variables (hotel talent assessment) have a real value differ from (0.000) b and they have a real impact on improving performance.

## **Simple Regression equation:**

Improving performance = 2.759 + 0.471 (Hotel talent assessment)

## Results

- There is a significant relationship between hotel talent assessments and improving performance.
- There is a positive significant impact from hotel talent assessment in improving hotel performance.

This study accepted this hypothesis that there is a significant relationship between the talent assessments in the hotel and improving performance.

- There is a significant relationship between hotel talent assessments and improving performance.
- There is a positive significant impact from hotel talent assessment in improving hotel performance. This study accepted this hypothesis that there is a significant relationship between the talent assessments in the hotel and improving performance.

## 4. Conclusion and further research

This paper aims to firstly to identify the awareness of talent management concept and its importance in five-star hotels in greater Cairo, secondly, to identify the impact of the applying of talent management on improving performance. The results showed there is a positive significant statistical relationship between hotel talent recruitment on performance. It also reported that there is a significant positive statistical relationship between hotel talents motivation and development on the improving performance, and talent retention in the hotel on the improving performance, it also showed that there is a positive relationship between hotel talent assessments on the improving performance.

The current study has contribution to knowledge by enhance the understanding the concept of talent management. It also added to the growing the review of literature data collected related to talent management from the different sources. Consequently, there is a lack of research addressing the application of talent management in five-star hotels and it effect on employee performance in Egypt. Further research should be carried out to test the findings of this study with other hotels in Egypt to identify how to generalize the findings of this study

#### References

- Abdul'aal, A. F. A. S. (2018). The Impact of Talent Management on Organizational Excellence: An Applied Study on Jordan Telecommunication Company. Organization, 10(5).
- Almohtaseb, A. A., Shaheen, H. A. K., Alomari, K. M.,
  & Yousef, M. A. (2020). Impact of talent management on organizational performance: The moderating role of an effective performance

management system. International Journal of Business and Management, 15(4), 11-24.

- Anand, P. (2011). Talent development and strategy at telecom major Bharti Airtel. Strategic HR Review.
- Anjomshoae, A., Hassan, A., Kunz, N., Wong, K. Y., & de Leeuw, S. (2017). Toward a dynamic balanced scorecard model for humanitarian relief organizations' performance management. Journal of Humanitarian Logistics and Supply Chain Management.
- Bibi, M. (2019). Impact of talent management practices on employee performance: an empirical study among healthcare employees. SEISENSE Journal of Management, 2(1), 22-32.
- Blass, E. (2009). Talent management: cases and commentary. Springer.
- Cheraisi, E., & Busolo, H. (2020). Effect of Talent Attraction on Organizational Performance: A Case of Hotels in South Rift Region, Kenya. European Journal of Business and Management, 12 (6), 10-19.
- Creswell, J. W., & Poth, C.N, (2017). Qualitative inquiry and research design: choosing among five approaches. Sage publications.
- Deery, M. (2008). Talent management, work-life balance and retention strategies. International journal of contemporary hospitality management.
- Dhanabhakyam, M., & Kokilambal, K. (2014). A study on existing talent management practice and its benefits across industries. International Journal of Research in Business Management, 2(7), 23-36.
- Eneizan, B. M., abdelqader Alsakarneh, A. A., ALkharabsheh, K. A., Hadi, A. A., & Alnoor, A. (2019). An investigation into the relationship between emotional labor and customer satisfaction. Central European Management Journal, 27(4), 23-47.
- Hafez, E., AbouelNeel, R., & Elsaid, E. (2017). An exploratory study on how talent management affects employee retention and job satisfaction for personnel administration in Ain Shams University Egypt. Journal of Management and Strategy, 8(4), 1.
- Harris, W. M. (2018). Talent Management that Results in Successful, Diverse Organizational Leadership: A Qualitative Inquiry (Doctoral dissertation, Capella University).
- Hughes, J. C., & Rog, E. (2008). Talent management: A strategy for improving employee recruitment, retention and engagement within hospitality organizations. International journal of contemporary hospitality management.
- Lewis, R. E., & Heckman, R. J. (2006). Talent management: A critical review. Human resource management review, 16(2), 139-154.
- Mangusho, Y. S., Murei, R. K., & Nelima, E. (2015). Evaluation of talent management on employee's

performance in beverage industry: A case of delmonte Kenya Limited. International Journal of Humanities and Social Science, 5(8), 191-199.

- Marinakou, E., & Giousmpasoglou, C. (2019). Talent management and retention strategies in luxury hotels: evidence from four countries. International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management.
- Rudsada, K.-O. (2016). Talent Management : A Critical Investigation in the Thai Hospitality Industry. Salford Business School College of Business and Law The University of Salford, Salford, UK Submitted, 147, 1–108.
- Saad, H., & Mayouf, M. (2018). Talent Management Strategies and Practices in Five Star Hotels: An Exploratory Study. International Journal of Heritage, Tourism and Hospitality, 12(2), 32-49.
- Sadek, H. (2022). The Impact of Talent Management Practices on Employees Engagement in Sharm El-Sheikh Hotels. Journal of Association of Arab Universities for Tourism and Hospitality, 22(2), 132-153.
- Silzer, R. F., & Dowell, B. (2010). Strategy-driven talent management: A leadership imperative. Language, 45(881p), 26cm.
- SOPIAH, S., KURNIAWAN, D. T., NORA, E., & NARMADITYA, B. S. (2020). Does talent management affect employee performance? The moderating role of work engagement. The Journal of Asian Finance, Economics and Business, 7(7), 335-341.
- Stahl, G., Björkman, I., Farndale, E., Morris, S. S., Paauwe, J., Stiles, P., ... & Wright, P. (2012). Six principles of effective global talent management. Sloan Management Review, 53(2), 25-42.
- Tafti, M. M., Mahmoudsalehi, M., & Amiri, M. (2017). Critical success factors, challenges and obstacles in talent management. Industrial and Commercial Training.
- Thompson, N. A., & Weiss, D. J. (2013). Computerized and adaptive testing in educational assessment. The Transition to Computer-Based Assessment, 127.
- Us, J. (2014). Strategic Talent Management. Strategic Talent Management. https://doi.org/10.1017/cbo9781139424585.
- Vinet, L., & Zhedanov, A. (2011). A 'missing'family of classical orthogonal polynomials. Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical, 44(8), 085201.
- Von Seldeneck, J. M. (2004). Finding and hiring fasttrack talent. The Talent Management Handbook, 169.
- Vural, Y., Vardarlier, P., & Aykir, A. (2012). The effects of using talent management with performance evaluation system over employee commitment. Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences, 58, 340-349.